IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,))
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, v.) CIVIL NO. SX-12-CV-370
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION, Defendants/Counterclaimants, v.	 ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND PARTNERSHIP DISSOLUTION, WIND UP, AND ACCOUNTING
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,)))
Additional Counterclaim Defendants. WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the	Consolidated With
Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	
Plaintiff,) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-287
V.) ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
UNITED CORPORATION,)
Defendant. WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the	
Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-278
v.) ACTION FOR DEBT AND) CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF,)
Defendant.))

YUSUF'S OPPOSITION TO HAMED MOTION TO COMPEL RE REVISED CLAIM H-146 – IMBALANCE IN CREDIT CARD POINTS

Hamed's Current Motion to Compel Relating to Hamed Revised Claim H-146 – Imbalance in Credit Card Points is premised upon Yusuf's alleged failure to fully respond to Yusuf's Opposition to Hamed's Current Motion to Compel Relating to Hamed Claim H-146 – Credit Card Points Hamed v. Yusuf, SX-12-CV-370 Page 2

Hamed Interrogatory No. 22, which seeks information as to the value of credit card points incurred on business purchases from 2008 to 2015 (when the stores were split).

In response, Yusuf objected on the grounds that the information cannot be easily obtained as the partners did not traditionally track the amount of credit card points that each family member employee used when making business purchases and never previously divided or reconciled those points. Various Hamed and Yusuf family members would purchase inventory and other business expenses on credit cards, and each would be reimbursed for those expenses. As members of both families were engaged in this process, the credit card points that accumulated simply remained available to the family member to use. There was no tracking of the points or reconciliation of the points accumulated in the history of the Partnership. The accountant for the Partnership provided a detailed response relating to this issue in his May 17, 2016 letter and Yusuf incorporated such information into his response to this interrogatory. See Exhibits 4 and 6 to Hamed's Motion to Compel dated July 28, 2021. That response indicates that attempting to go back over years to determine the points attributable to the business-related purchases and then to value them, would be onerous and was not something that the Partnership had ever done previously. Hamed contends that based upon their review of the records provided by Gaffney, that between 2013 -2015, the total value of business purchases for the credit cards was in excess of \$45 million-not surprising given the volume of the business operations. However, to attempt to weed through all of the transactions comprising the \$45+ million for just two years, much less for an additional 5 years going back to 2008, is onerous. Further, as Gaffney explained, the credit card points are not something that was tracked in the General Ledger. Gaffney details why such a task would be extremely time consuming. Id. Exhibit 6. Since the partners never tracked those credit card points in the past, requiring such an Yusuf's Opposition to Hamed's Current Motion to Compel Relating to Hamed Claim H-146 – Credit Card Points Hamed v. Yusuf, SX-12-CV-370 Page 3

undertaking now is improper and unduly burdensome. For these reasons, Hamed's Motion to

Compel should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

DUDLEY NEWMAN FEUERZEIG, LLP

DATED: February 3, 2022

<u>s/Charlotte K. Perrell</u>
CHARLOTTE K. PERRELL (V.I. Bar No. 1281)
Law House 1000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St. Thomas, VI 00804-0756
Telephone: (340) 715-4422
Telefax: (340) 715-4400
E-Mail: cperrell@dnfvi.com

Attorneys for Fathi Yusuf and United Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

By:

I hereby certify that on this 3rd day of February, 2022, I caused the foregoing **Yusuf's Opposition to Hamed's Current Motion to Compel Relating to Hamed Claim H-146** – **Imbalance of Credit Card Points,** which complies with the page and word limitations of Rule 6-1(e), to be served upon the following via the Case Anywhere docketing system:

Joel H. Holt, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF JOEL H. HOLT Quinn House - Suite 2 2132 Company Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 E-Mail: holtvi.plaza@gmail.com Carl J. Hartmann, III, Esq. 5000 Estate Coakley Bay – Unit L-6 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 E-Mail: <u>carl@carlhartmann.com</u> Yusuf's Opposition to Hamed's Current Motion to Compel Relating to Hamed Claim H-146 – Credit Card Points Hamed v. Yusuf, SX-12-CV-370 Page 4

Mark W. Eckard, Esq. ECKARD, P.C. P.O. Box 24849 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00824 E-Mail: mark@markeckard.com Jeffrey B.C. Moorhead, Esq. JEFFREY B.C. MOORHEAD, P.C. C.R.T. Brow Building – Suite 3 1132 King Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 E-Mail: jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

The Honorable Edgar D. Ross E-Mail: <u>edgarrossjudge@hotmail.com</u>

and via U.S. Mail to:

The Honorable Edgar D. Ross Master P.O. Box 5119 Kingshill, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00851 Alice Kuo 5000 Estate Southgate Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

s/Charlotte K. Perrell